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## Introduction

## Motivation

- Positive linear recurrence sequences (PLRS) generalize the Fibonacci numbers in Zeckendorf's theorem.
- Complete sequences can be used to to express integers using sums of terms.


## Research Question

How can we determine whether a PLRS is complete based on the coefficients in its defining recurrence relation?

## Positive Linear Recurrence Sequences

## Definition

A sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ of positive integers is a Positive Linear Recurrence Sequence (PLRS) if:

- (Recurrence relation) There are non-negative integers $L, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}$ such that

$$
H_{n+1}=c_{1} H_{n}+\cdots+c_{L} H_{n+1-L}
$$

with $L, c_{1}, c_{L}$ positive.

- (Initial conditions) $H_{1}=1$, and for $1 \leq n \leq L$,

$$
H_{n+1}=c_{1} H_{n}+\cdots+c_{n} H_{1}+1
$$

## Positive Linear Recurrence Sequences

- Write $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right]$ for $H_{n+1}=c_{1} H_{n}+\cdots+c_{L} H_{n-L+1}$.
- Fibonacci numbers: $[1,1]$. Initial conditions
$F_{1}=1, F_{2}=2$.
- (Lucas and Pell numbers are not PLRS, due to initial conditions).


## Introduction to Completeness

## Definition

A sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ is complete if every positive integer is a sum of its terms, using each term at most once.

- The sequence $[1,3]$ is not complete. Its terms are $\{1,2,5,11, \ldots\}$; you cannot get 4 or 9 .
- The Fibonacci sequence is complete (follows from Zeckendorf's Theorem).


## The Doubling Sequence $H_{n+1}=2 H_{n}$

The PLRS [2] has terms $H_{n}=2^{n-1}$, i.e., $\{1,2,4,8, \ldots\}$, and is complete (every integer has a binary representation).

## Theorem (Brown)

The complete sequence with maximal terms is $H_{n}=2^{n-1}$.

Any PLRS of the form $[1, \ldots, 1,2]$ has the same terms as [2], i.e., $H_{n}=2^{n-1}$.

## Brown's Criterion

Theorem (Brown)
A nondecreasing sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ is complete if and only if $H_{1}=1$ and for every $n \geq 1$,

$$
H_{n+1} \leq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}
$$

## Definition

The $\boldsymbol{n}$-th Brown's Gap of a sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ is

$$
B_{H, n}:=1+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} H_{i}\right)-H_{n}
$$

## Modifying Sequences

## Example for $L=6$

## Example <br> $[1,0,0,0,0, N]$ is complete if and only if $N \leq 11$.

## Question

Is there another choice of coefficients $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{5}, N\right]$, that generates a complete PLRS, with some $N>11$ ?

## Example for $L=6$

- $[1,0,0,0,0, N]$ is complete for $N \leq 11$.
- $[1,1,0,0,0, N]$ is complete for $N \leq 11$.
- $[1,0,1,0,0, N]$ is complete for $N \leq 12$.
- $[1,0,0,1,0, N]$ is complete for $N \leq 11$.
- $[1,0,0,0,1, N]$ is complete for $N \leq 10$.

Why is $[1,0,1,0,0,12]$ complete, but $[1,0,0,0,0,12]$ is not complete?

## Example for $L=6$

Why is $[1,0,1,0,0,12]$ complete, but $[1,0,0,0,0,12]$ is not complete?

- [1, $0,0,0,0,12]$ has terms $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,18,42, \ldots\}$ and so computing $1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$ we see $\{2,4,7,11,16,22,40, \ldots\}$
- [1, 0, $1,0,0,12$ ] has terms $\{1,2,3,5,8,12,29,61, \ldots\}$ and so computing $1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$ we see $\{2,4,7,12,20,32,61, \ldots\}$
- [1, $1,1,0,0,12]$ has terms $\{1,2,4,8,15,28,63, \ldots\}$ and so computing $1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}$ we see $\{2,4,8,16,31,59, \ldots\}$


## Modifying Coefficients of a PLRS

What modifications to the coefficients preserve completeness or incompleteness?

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right] \text { is any incomplete sequence, then the sequence } \\
& {\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L-2}, c_{L-1}+c_{L}\right] \text { is also incomplete. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

If a sequence $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L-1}, c_{L}\right]$ is complete, then so is $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L-1}, d_{L}\right]$ for any $1 \leq d_{L} \leq c_{L}$.
Remark. Not true for $c_{i}$ in an arbitrary position.

We discuss bounds for the last coefficient.

## Families of Sequences

## Analyzing Families of Sequences

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

- $[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$, is complete if and only if

$$
N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(k+2)(k+3)}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

- $[1,1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$, is complete if and only if

$$
N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{F_{k+6}-(k+5)}{4}\right\rfloor,
$$

where $F_{k}$ is the $k$ th Fibonacci number.

## Proof Sketch

## Theorem

$[1,0, \ldots, 0, N]$, with $k$ zeros, is complete if and only if $N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(k+2)(k+3)}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Partial Proof. We sketch that if $N_{\max }=\left\lfloor\frac{(k+2)(k+3)}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor$, then the sequence is complete.
With the recurrence relation and Brown's criterion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n+1} & =H_{n}+N_{\max } H_{n-k-1} \\
& \leq H_{n}+\left(N_{\max }-1\right) H_{n-k-1}+H_{n-k-2}+\cdots+H_{1}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction, $\left(N_{\max }-1\right) H_{n-k-1} \leq H_{n-1}+\cdots+H_{n-k-1}$, so

$$
\leq H_{n}+\cdots+H_{1}+1 .
$$



Figure 1: $[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, 1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{g}, N]$ with location of middle one varying, where each color represents a fixed length $L$.

## Theorem on Switching Ones

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

Let $L \geq 6$ fixed and $\left\{H_{n}\right\}=[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{L-g-3}, 1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{g}, M]$, $0<g \leq L-3$. If $M$ is maximal such that $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ is complete, and $N$ is maximal such that $[1,0, \ldots, 0, N]$ is complete, $M \geq N$.

In particular,

- $[1,0, \ldots, 0,0,1, M]$ is complete if and only if $M \leq N-1$
- $[1,0, \ldots, 0,1,0, M]$ is complete if and only if $M \leq N$.


Figure 2: $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$ with $k$ and $g$ varying, where each color represents a fixed $k$.

## Sequences of Initial Ones

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

If a sequence $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$ is complete with $g \geq 3$,
then

$$
N \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} F_{i}^{(g)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1-g} F_{i}^{(g)}+\cdots+\sum_{i=1}^{(k+1) \bmod g} F_{i}^{(g)}\right)
$$

where $F_{i}^{(g)}$ is the $g$-bonacci sequence, $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g}]$.

## Sequences of Initial Ones

Conjecture (SMALL 2020)
If a sequence $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$ is complete, then so is
$[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g+j}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$ for any positive integer $j$.
Theorem (SMALL 2020)
Consider $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{g}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, N]$.

- For $g \geq k+\left\lceil\log _{2} k\right\rceil$, the bound on $N$ is $N \leq 2^{k+1}-1$
- For $k \leq g<k+\left\lceil\log _{2} k\right\rceil$, the bound on $N$ is

$$
N \leq 2^{k+1}-\left\lceil\frac{k}{2^{g-k}}\right\rceil
$$

## The $2 L-1$ conjecture

## The $2 L-1$ conjecture

Can we bound where a sequence must fail Brown's Criterion? We think so!

## Conjecture (SMALL 2020)

If a PLRS $H_{n+1}=c_{1} H_{n}+\cdots+c_{L} H_{n+1-L}$ incomplete, then it fails Brown's criterion before the 2 L -th term.

The closest we've gotten:

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

The PLRS $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ generated by $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right]$ is complete if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{H, n} \geq 0,1 \leq n<L \\
B_{H, n}>0, L \leq n \leq 2 L-1
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 3: $[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m}, N]$ with number of ones $(m)$ varying, depending on $L$.

## Conditional result on Adding Ones

If the $2 L-1$ conjecture holds, we have the following:

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

For a fixed length $L$, the sequence $[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m}, N]$ with $m$ ones has a lower bound on $N$ than the sequence $[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{k-1}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{m+1}, N]$.
In particular, if $m<\frac{L}{2}$, the bound is precisely

$$
N \leq\left\lfloor\frac{(L-m)(L+m+1)}{4}+\frac{1}{48} m(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)+\frac{1-2 m}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

## Binet's Formula and Generalizations

## Characteristic Polynomials

## Definition

For a PLRS $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ defined by $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right]$, define the characteristic polynomial

$$
p(x)=x^{L}-\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_{i} x^{L-i}
$$

- By Descartes's Rule of Signs, $p(x)$ there is one positive real root, the principal root.
- The principal root is always the largest: for any root $z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<r$.


## Generalized Binet's Formula

## Theorem (Generalized Binet's Formula)

If $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$ are the roots of the polynomial of a linear recurrence $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ with multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$, there are polynomials $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(q_{i}\right) \leq m_{i}-1$ such that

$$
H_{n}=q_{1}(n) r_{1}^{n}+\ldots+q_{k}(n) r_{k}^{n} .
$$

- If $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ is a PLRS, let $r_{1}$ be the principal root; since $m_{1}=1$ and for all $i, r_{1}>\left|r_{i}\right|$, then $H_{n}=\Theta\left(r_{1}^{n}\right)$.
- Complete sequences should grow "slowly". Can we relate the size of $r_{1}$ to completeness?


## Bounding the Principal Root

## First Bounds on $r_{1}$

Recall $p(x)=x^{L}-\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_{i} x^{L-i}$.
As $c_{L} \geq 1$, we know $r_{1}>1$. $\left(c_{L}=\prod r_{i}^{m_{i}}\right.$, and $r_{1}$ is the biggest root by magnitude).

## Lemma (SMALL 2020)

If $H_{n}$ is a complete PLRS and $r_{1}$ is its principal root, then $r_{1} \leq 2$.

## Proof.

Otherwise, as $H_{n}=\Theta\left(r_{1}^{n}\right)$, for large $n$ our terms would exceed the maximal sequence $\left\{2^{n-1}\right\}$.

Note: there are incomplete sequences with principal roots $r \leq 2$.

## Is 2 a Useful Bound?

- We can find complete sequences with roots of sizes arbitrarily close to 2 . (Sequences of the form $[\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{L}]$.)
- Checking $r_{1} \leq 2$ is a fast method to eliminate candidates for completeness.
- $p(x)=x^{L}-\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_{i} x^{L-i}$ has one positive real root, and $p(x)>0$ for large $x$, so $r_{1} \leq 2$ if and only if $p(2) \geq 0$. This is much faster than checking Brown's Criterion!


## Lower Bound

## Lemma (SMALL 2020)

For any $L$, there exists a second bound $B_{L}$ such that if a sequence $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right]$ is incomplete, then $r_{1} \geq B_{L}$.

## Proof.

- There are finitely many sequences $\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L}\right]$ with

$$
p(2)=2^{L}-\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_{i} 2^{L-i} \geq 0
$$

- Hence finitely many incomplete sequences with $r_{1} \leq 2$, so just find the minimum root - $B_{L}$.

We now aim to determine the precise values of $B_{L}$.

## The Minimal Incomplete Sequence

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

$[1, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{L-2}, N]$, is complete if and only if

$$
N \leq\left\lceil\frac{L(L+1)}{4}\right\rceil
$$

Conjecture (SMALL 2020)
For any $L$, the incomplete sequence of length $L$ with smallest principal root is $\left[1,0, \ldots, 0,\left\lceil\frac{L(L+1)}{4}\right\rceil+1\right]$.

- Let $\lambda_{L}$ the principal root of $\left[1,0, \ldots, 0,\left[\frac{L(L+1)}{4}\right\rceil+1\right]$. This is saying $\lambda_{L}=B_{L}$, for all $L$.


## Denseness of Incomplete Roots

## Theorem (SMALL 2020)

For any $L \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, let $R_{L}$ be the set of roots of all incomplete PLRS of length $L$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an $M$ such that for all $L>M$, for any $\varepsilon$-ball $B_{\varepsilon} \subset[1,2]$, $B_{\varepsilon} \cap R_{L} \neq \varnothing$.

## Corollary

The set $R=\bigcup_{L=1}^{\infty} R_{L}$ of all principal roots of incomplete sequences is dense in $[1,2]$.
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## Appendix

## Proof of Denseness Theorem

We use that the $\lambda_{L}$ roots are decreasing, and $\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{L}=1$.

## Proof.

Consider the following incomplete sequences:

$$
\left[1,0, \ldots, 0,\left\lceil\frac{L(L+1)}{2}\right\rceil+1\right],\left[1,0, \ldots, 0,\left\lceil\frac{L(L+1)}{2}\right\rceil+2\right], \ldots,\left[1,0, \ldots, 0,2^{L}\right]
$$

- The root of the first sequence approaches 1 .
- Roots of consecutive sequence increase at a decreasing rate.
- Root of the last sequence exceeds 2 .
- Thus for $\lambda_{L}<1+\varepsilon$, roots are going up by at most $\varepsilon$.

